arXiv:0907.4038v1 [math.DG] 23 Jul 2009

PERTURBATION OF A WARPED PRODUCT METRIC OF AN END AND THE GROWTH PROPERTY OF SOLUTIONS TO EIGENVALUE EQUATION*

HIRONORI KUMURA**

Abstract. When a Riemannian manifold (M, g) is rotationally symmetric, the critical order of the lower bound of radial curvatures for the absence of eigenvalues of the Laplacian is equal to $-\frac{1}{r}$, where r stands for the distance to the center point. In this paper, we shall perturb the Riemannian metric around a rotationally symmetric one and derive growth estimates of solutions to the eigenvalue equation, from which the absence of eigenvalues will follows.

1. Introduction

The Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ on a noncompact complete Riemannian manifold (M, g) is essentially self-adjoit on $C_0^\infty(M)$ and its self-adjoit extension to $L^2(M)$ has been studied by several authors from various points of view. Especially, the problem of the absence of eigenvalues was discussed in [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [9], [10], [13], [15], [17], and [19]. When (M, g) is rotationally symmetric, the critical order of the lower bound of radial curvatures for the absence of eigenvalues is $-\frac{1}{r}$. This fact follows from Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5 below. The purpose of this paper is to explore further into this critical order.

We shall introduce some terminology and notations to state our results. Let (M, g) be an n-dimensional noncompact complete Riemannian manifold and U an open subset of M. We shall say that $M - U$ is an *end with radial coordinates* if and only if the boundary ∂U is compact, connected, and C^∞ and the outward normal exponential map $\exp^\perp_{\partial U} : N^+(\partial U) \to M - \overline{U}$ induces a diffeomorphism, where $N^+(\partial U) = \{v \in T(\partial U) \mid v \text{ is outward normal to } \partial U\}$. Note that U is not necessarily relatively compact. Let r denote the distance function from ∂U defined on the end $M - U$. We shall say that a 2-plane $\pi \subset T_xM$ $(x \in M - U)$ is *radial* if π contains ∇r, and, by the *radial curvature*, we mean the restriction of the sectional curvature to all the radial planes. In the sequel, the following notations will be used:

$$B(s,t) = \{x \in M - \overline{U} \mid s < r(x) < t\} \quad \text{for } 0 \le s < t;$$
$$B(s,\infty) = \{x \in M - \overline{U} \mid s < r(x)\} \quad \text{for } 0 \le s < \infty;$$
$$S(t) = \{x \in M - \overline{U} \mid r(x) = t\} \quad \text{for } 0 \le t < \infty;$$
$$\sigma(-\Delta) = \text{ the spectrum of } -\Delta;$$
$$\sigma_{\rm p}(-\Delta) = \text{ the set of all eigenvalues of } -\Delta;$$

---

[*] Mathematics Subject Classification 58J50. 47A75.

[**] supported in part by the Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C), Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, No. 21540215.

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(-\Delta) = \text{ the essential spectrum of } -\Delta; \\ &K_{\mathrm{rad.}} = \text{ the radial curvature on } M - U. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we denote the Riemannian measure of (M, g) by dv_g, and the induced measures from dv_g on each $S(t)$ $(t > 0)$ simply by dA.

We shall state our main theorems: let (M, g) be an n-dimensional noncompact complete Riemannian manifold and U an open subset of M. We assume that $E := M - \overline{U}$ is an end with radial coordinates. We denote $r = \mathrm{dist}(U, *)$ on E. Let us set

$$\widetilde{g} = g - dr \otimes dr$$

and assume that there exists $r_0 > 0$ such that

$$\left\{ \frac{f'(r)}{f(r)} - \frac{a}{r} \right\} \widetilde{g} \le \nabla dr \le \left\{ \frac{f'(r)}{f(r)} + \frac{b}{r} \right\} \widetilde{g} \qquad \text{on } B(r_0, \infty), \tag{1}$$

where $f(t)$ is a positive-valued C^∞ function of $t \in [r_0, \infty)$, and a and b are positive constants. In the sequel, we shall often use the following notation for simplicity:

$$\begin{aligned} &\widehat{a} = (n-1)a \qquad \text{for a constant } a > 0; \\ &A(r) = \frac{f'(r)}{f(r)};\ K(r) = -\frac{f''(r)}{f(r)}; \\ &\widehat{A}(r) = (n-1)A(r);\ \widehat{K}(r) = (n-1)K(r). \end{aligned}$$

For the sake of convenience, we shall list the assumptions used in this paper:

$$\frac{A_0}{r} \le A(r) \le \frac{B_0}{\sqrt{r}} \qquad \text{for } r \ge r_0; \tag{2}$$

$$2(A_0 - a) > \widehat{a} + \widehat{b}; \tag{3}$$

$$\left| r\widehat{A}'(r) \right| = \left| -r\left(\widehat{A}(r)A(r) + \widehat{K}(r)\right) \right| \le \widehat{K}_3 \qquad \text{for } r \ge r_0; \tag{4}$$

$$\mathrm{Ric}_g(\nabla r, \nabla r) \ge -\frac{\widehat{b}_1}{r} \qquad \text{on } B(r_0, \infty), \tag{5}$$

where A_0, B_0, b_1, and K_3 are positive constants.
Moreover, for $\gamma > \frac{\widehat{a}+\widehat{b}}{2}$, we denote

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda_1(\gamma, a, b, A_0, B_0, K_3) \\ &= \max\left\{ \frac{(\widehat{K}_3)^2}{(y_1 - \widehat{a})(2\gamma - \widehat{b} - y_1)}, \left(\frac{4\widehat{b}_1 + (\widehat{B}_0)^2}{8\left(2(A_0 - a) - \widehat{a} - \widehat{b}\right)} \right)^2 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $y_1 = \min\left\{ \frac{2\gamma + \widehat{a} - \widehat{b}}{2}, 2(A_0 - a) - \widehat{b} \right\}$. Note that $\lambda_1(\gamma, a, b, A_0, B_0, K_3)$ converges to zero as K_3, b_1, and B_0 tend to zero.
Note also that (1) and (2) imply that $\lim_{r \to \infty} \Delta r = 0$, and hence, $\sigma(-\Delta) = [0, \infty)$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let (M, g) be an n-dimensional noncompact complete Riemannian manifold and U an open subset of M. We assume that $E := M - \overline{U}$ is an end with radial coordinates and denote $r = \mathrm{dist}(U, *)$ on E. Let us set*

$$\widetilde{g} = g - dr \otimes dr$$

and assume that there exist a positive-valued C^∞ *function* $f(t)$ *of* $t \in [r_0, \infty)$ *and positive constants* r_0, a, b, A_0, B_0, b_1, *and* K_3 *such that* (1), (2), (3), (4), *and* (5) *hold. Let* $\lambda > 0$ *be a constant and* u *a solution to*

$$\Delta u + \lambda u = 0 \qquad \text{on } B(r_0, \infty).$$

Let

$$\gamma > \frac{\widehat{a} + \widehat{b}}{2}$$

be a constant and assume that u *satisfies the condition*:

$$\liminf_{t \to \infty} \; t^\gamma \int_{S(t)} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right)^2 + |u|^2 \right\} dA = 0.$$

Moreover, we assume that

$$\lambda > \lambda_1(\gamma, a, b, A_0, B_0, K_3).$$

Then, $u \equiv 0$ *on* $B(r_0, \infty)$.

In particular, if $2 > \widehat{a} + \widehat{b}$, *then* $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(-\Delta) \cap \big(\alpha_1(1, a, b, A_0, B_0, K_3), \infty\big) = \emptyset$.

Letting $\theta > 0$ be a constant and substituting $f(r) = r^\theta$ in Theorem 1.1, we get the following:

Theorem 1.2. *Let* (M, g) *be an* n*-dimensional noncompact complete Riemannian manifold and* U *an open subset of* M. *We assume that* $E := M - \overline{U}$ *is an end with radial coordinates and denote* $r = \mathrm{dist}(U, *)$ *on* E. *Let us set* $\widetilde{g} = g - dr \otimes dr$ *and assume that there exist positive constants* r_0, θ, a, b, *and* b_1 *such that the following hold:*

$$\frac{\theta - a}{r}\, \widetilde{g} \le \nabla dr \le \frac{\theta + b}{r}\, \widetilde{g} \qquad \text{on } B(r_0, \infty);$$

$$2(\theta - a) > \widehat{a} + \widehat{b};$$

$$\mathrm{Ric}_g(\nabla r, \nabla r) \ge -\frac{\widehat{b}_1}{r} \qquad \text{on } B(r_0, \infty).$$

Let $\lambda > 0$ *be a constant satisfying*

$$\lambda > \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \frac{\widehat{b}_1}{2(\theta - a) - \widehat{a} - \widehat{b}} \right\}^2 =: \beta(\theta, a, b, b_1)$$

and u *a solution to*

$$\Delta u + \lambda u = 0 \qquad \text{on } B(r_0, \infty).$$

Moreover, let

$$\gamma > \frac{\widehat{a} + \widehat{b}}{2}$$

be a constant and assume that u *satisfies the condition*:

$$\liminf_{t \to \infty} \; t^\gamma \int_{S(t)} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right)^2 + |u|^2 \right\} dA = 0.$$

Then, $u \equiv 0$ *on* $B(r_0, \infty)$.

In particular, if $2 > \widehat{a} + \widehat{b}$, *then we have* $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(-\Delta) \cap \big(\beta(\theta, a, b, b_1), \infty\big) = \emptyset$.

When (M,g) has an end with a warped product metric, we have the following:

Theorem 1.3. *Let (M,g) be an n-dimensional noncompact complete Riemannian manifold and U an open subset of M with compact C^∞-boundary ∂U. Assume that $E = M - U$ is diffeomorphic to $[0,\infty) \times \partial U$ and that the metric $g|_E$ restricted on E has the following form*:

$$g|_E = dr^2 + h(r)^2 g_{\partial U},$$

where $r = \operatorname{dist}(U,*)$ on $E = M - U$, and $g_{\partial U}$ stands for the induced metric on ∂U. We denote $A_h(r) = \frac{h'(r)}{h(r)}$ and $K_h(r) = -\frac{h''(r)}{h(r)}$. We assume that there exist constants $r_0 > 0$ and $A_0 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{A_0}{r} \leq A_h(r) \leq \frac{\varepsilon(r)}{\sqrt{r}} \qquad \text{on } B(r_0,\infty),$$

where $\varepsilon(t)$ is a positive-valued function of $t \in (r_0,\infty)$ satisfying $\lim_{t\to\infty} \varepsilon(t) = 0$. We also assume that

$$|K_h(r)| = o\left(\frac{1}{r}\right).$$

Then, $-\Delta$ has no eigenvalue.

In view of the comparison theorem in Riemannian geometry (see [14], Kasue, and Proposition 2.1), Theorem 1.2 implies the following:

Theorem 1.4. *Let (M,g) be an n-dimensional noncompact complete Riemannian manifold and U an open subset of M with compact C^∞-boundary ∂U. Assume that $E = M - U$ is diffeomorphic to $[0,\infty) \times \partial U$ and that the metric $g|_E$ restricted on E has the following form:*

$$g|_E = dr^2 + h(r)^2 g_{\partial U},$$

where $r = \operatorname{dist}(U,*)$ on $E = M - U$, and $g_{\partial U}$ stands for the induced metric on ∂U. We denote $A_h(r) = \frac{h'(r)}{h(r)}$ and $K_h(r) = -\frac{h''(r)}{h(r)}$. We assume that there exist constants $r_0 > 0$ and $a > 0$ such that

$$A_h(r_0) \begin{cases} \geq \dfrac{a}{r_0} & \text{if} \quad 0 < a < 1, \\ > 0 & \text{if} \quad a \geq 1; \end{cases}$$

$$-\frac{\varepsilon(r)}{r} \leq K_h \leq \frac{a(1-a)}{r^2} \qquad \text{on } B(r_0,\infty),$$

where $\varepsilon(t)$ is a positive-valued function of $t \in (r_0,\infty)$ satisfying $\lim_{t\to\infty} \varepsilon(t) = 0$. Then, $-\Delta$ has no eigenvalue.

Moreover, we can prove that this decay order $-\frac{\varepsilon(r)}{r}$ of the lower bound of radial curvatures in Theorem 1.4 is critical in the following sense:

Theorem 1.5. *There exists a rotationally symmetric manifold $(M,g) = \big(\mathbf{R}^n, dr^2 + f^2(r) g_{S^{n-1}(1)}\big)$ with the following three properties*:

(*i*) $\lim_{r\to\infty} |\nabla dr| = 0$, *and hence,* $\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(-\Delta) = [0,\infty)$;

(*ii*) $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(-\Delta) = \{1\}$;

(*iii*) $K_{\mathrm{rad.}} = O(r^{-1})$ *as* $r \to \infty$.

Our method is a modification of solutions of [15], Kato, [10], Eidus, [21], Roze, and [19], Mochizuki, to the analogous problem for the Schrödinger equation on Euclidian space.

2. Agmon's theorem and warped product metric on an end

In this section, we shall consider the spectral problem of the Laplacian on a Riemannian manifold which possesses an end with a warped product metric; this observation provide us our starting point of warped product metrics which will be treated in Theorem 1.1. Moreover, we shall also prove Theorem 1.5.

First, we quote the following

Lemma 2.1 ([2], Agmon)**.** *Let* $H = -\Delta + V_1(x) + V_2(x)$ *be a Schrödinger operator on* $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$*, where* $V_1(x)$ *and* $V_2(x)$ *are bounded real-valued functions on* $\mathbf{R}^n$*. We set* $r = |x|$ *and assume that the following*:

(*i*) $\limsup_{r\to\infty} |rV_1(x)| = 0$;

(*ii*) $\limsup_{r\to\infty} V_2(x) = 0$; $\limsup_{r\to\infty} r\frac{\partial V_2}{\partial r}(x) = 0$.

Then, H has no positive eigenvalue.

We note that Proposition 2.1 below follows by using the comparison theorem in Riemannian geometry (see [14], Kasue,).

Proposition 2.1. *Let* (M, g) *be an* n*-dimensional noncompact complete Riemannian manifold and* U *an open subset of* M*. Assume that* $E := M - U$ *is an end with radial coordinates and that there exist constants* $r_0 > 0$ *and* $a \in (0, 1]$ *such that*

$$\nabla dr\big|_{TS(r_0)\times TS(r_0)} \begin{cases} \ge \frac{a}{r_0} & \text{if} \quad 0 < a < 1, \\ \ge 0 & \text{if} \quad a \ge 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$-\frac{\varepsilon(r)}{r} \le K_{\text{rad.}} \le \frac{a(1-a)}{r^2} \qquad \text{on } B(r_0, \infty),$$

where $\varepsilon(t)$ *is a positive-valued function of* $t \in (r_0, \infty)$ *satisfying* $\lim_{t\to\infty} \varepsilon(t) = 0$*. Then, we have*

$$\left\{\frac{a}{r} + O\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\right)\right\}\widetilde{g} \le \nabla dr \le \frac{\varepsilon_1(r)}{\sqrt{r}}\widetilde{g} \quad \text{on } B(r_0, \infty),$$

where $\varepsilon_1(t)$ *is a positive-valued function of* $t \in (r_0, \infty)$ *satisfying* $\lim_{t\to\infty} \varepsilon_1(r) = 0$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let* (M, g) *be an* n*-dimensional noncompact complete Riemannian manifold and* U *an open subset of* M *with compact* C^∞*-boundary* ∂U*. Assume that* $E = M - U$ *is diffeomorphic to* $[0, \infty) \times \partial U$ *and that the metric* g *on* E *has the following form:*

$$g|_E = dr^2 + h(r)^2 g_{\partial U},$$

where $r = \operatorname{dist}(U, *)$ *on* $E = M - U$*, and* $g_{\partial U}$ *stands for the induced metric on* ∂U*. We denote* $A_h(r) = \frac{h'(r)}{h(r)}$ *and* $K_h(r) = -\frac{h''(r)}{h(r)}$ *for simplicity. We assume that* *there exist constants* $r_0 > 0$ *and* $a > \frac{1}{4}$ *such that*

$$A_h(r_0) \begin{cases} \geq \dfrac{a}{r_0} & \text{if} \quad \dfrac{1}{4} < a < 1, \\ > 0 & \text{if} \quad a \geq 1; \end{cases}$$

$$-\frac{\varepsilon(r)}{r} \leq K_h \leq \frac{a(1-a)}{r^2} \qquad \text{on } B(r_0, \infty),$$

where $\varepsilon(t)$ *is a positive-valued function of* $t \in (r_0, \infty)$ *satisfying* $\lim_{t\to\infty} \varepsilon(t) = 0$. *Then,* $-\Delta$ *has no eigenvalue.*

Proof. Let $0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots$ denote the eigenvalues of $-\Delta_{g_{\partial U}}$ on the boundary $(\partial U, g_{\partial U})$ with each eigenvalue repeated according its multiplicity. Then $-\Delta|_{g|_E}$ is well known (see [10]) to decompose into the direct sum of the infinite number of operators L_i $(i = 0, 1, 2, \cdots)$ acting on the half line $(0, \infty)$ with Lebesgue measure dx, where

$$L_i = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + q_i(x);$$

$$q_i(x) = \frac{(n-1)(n-3)}{4} A_h^2(x) - \frac{(n-1)}{2} K_h(x) + \frac{\lambda_i}{h^2(x)}.$$

Proposition 2.1 implies that $A_h^2(x) = o\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$ and that $c_1 x^{(1-\theta)a} \leq h(x)$ on $[r_0, \infty)$ for any $\theta \in (0,1)$, where $c_1 = c_1(\theta) > 0$ is a constant. Hence, $\frac{d}{dx}\left(\frac{1}{h^2(x)}\right) = -2\frac{A_h(x)}{h^2(x)} = o\left(\frac{1}{x^{2(1-\theta)a+\frac{1}{2}}}\right) = o(\frac{1}{x})$ by our assumption $a > \frac{1}{4}$. Thus, we see that $q_i(x)$ is a sum of two functions $V_1(x)$ and $V_2(x)$ satisfying $V_1(x) = o\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$ and $\frac{dV_2}{dx}(x) = o\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$, respectively. Therefore, each L_i has no positive eigenvalue by Lemma 2.1. Hence $-\Delta$ has no positive eigenvalue; since the volume of M is infinity, $-\Delta$ does not have zero as an eigenvalue, either. □

Remark 2.1. Theorem 1.2 implies that the assumption $\frac{1}{4} < a$ in Proposition 2.2 can to be replaced by " $0 < a$".

Proof of Theorem 1.5

The proof of Theorem 1.5 is analogous to that of Theorem 1.6 in [18] except for the definition of the function f_1: let α and k be constants satisfying $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1$ and $|k| > 1$, respectively; we set

$$f_1(r) = \exp\left\{\int_1^r \left(\frac{1}{t^\alpha} + k\frac{\sin 2t}{t}\right) dt\right\}.$$

Then,

$$S_{f_1}(r) = \frac{f_1'(r)}{f_1(r)} = 2k\frac{\cos 2r}{r} + O\left(\frac{1}{r^{1+\alpha}}\right);$$

$$K_{f_1}(r) = -\frac{f_1''(r)}{f_1(r)} = -2k\frac{\cos 2r}{r} + O\left(\frac{1}{r^{2\alpha}}\right);$$

$$\frac{1}{f_1(r)} = O\left(\frac{1}{r^m}\right) \qquad \text{for any positive integer } m.$$

Thus, the rest of the poof of Theorem 2.2 will be accomplished by using the Atkinson's theorem (for the details, see the section 8 in [18]).

3. Analytic propositions

In this section, we shall prepare some analytic propositions toward the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Let (M,g) be an n-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold and U an open subset of M. Assume that $E := M-\overline{U}$ is an end with radial coordinates. We shall consider the eigenvalue equation

$$\Delta u + \lambda u = 0 \qquad \text{on } E := M - \overline{U},$$

where $\lambda > 0$ is a constant.

Let $\rho(r)$ be a C^∞ function of $r \in [r_0,\infty)$, and put

$$v(x) = \exp\bigl(\rho(r(x))\bigr)u(x) \qquad \text{for } x \in E.$$

Then it follows that v satisfies on $B(r_0,\infty)$ the equation

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Delta v - 2\rho'(r)\frac{\partial v}{\partial r} + qv = 0,\\
&q = |\nabla\rho(r)|^2 - \Delta\rho(r) + \lambda\\
&\quad = |\rho'(r)|^2 - \rho''(r) - \rho'(r)\Delta r + \lambda.
\end{aligned}$$

As is mentioned in section 1, we denote by dA the measures on each level surface $S(t)$ $(t>0)$ induced from the Riemannian measure dv_g on (M,g).

Proposition 3.1. *For any $\psi \in C^\infty(M-\overline{U})$ and $r_0 \le s < t$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B(s,t)} \left\{|\nabla v|^2 - q|v|^2\right\}\psi\, dv_g\\
&= \left(\int_{S(t)} - \int_{S(s)}\right)\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}\right)\psi v\, dA - \int_{B(s,t)} \langle \nabla\psi + 2\psi\rho'(r)\nabla r, \nabla v\rangle\, v\, dv_g.
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.2. *For any $r_0 < s < t$ and $\gamma \in \mathbf{R}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\int_{S(t)} - \int_{S(s)}\right) r^\gamma \left\{\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{2}|\nabla v|^2 + \frac{1}{2}q|v|^2\right\} dA\\
&= \int_{B(s,t)} r^{\gamma-1}\left\{r(\nabla dr)(\nabla v,\nabla v) - \frac{1}{2}(\gamma + r\Delta r)\left(|\nabla v|^2 - \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}\right)^2\right)\right\} dv_g\\
&\quad + \int_{B(s,t)} r^{\gamma-1}\left\{\frac{1}{2}(\gamma - r\Delta r) + 2r\rho'(r)\right\}\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}\right)^2 dv_g\\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\int_{B(s,t)} r^{\gamma-1}\left\{(\gamma + r\Delta r)q + r\frac{\partial q}{\partial r}\right\}|v|^2\, dv_g.
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.3. *Let $\nabla r, X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_{n-1}$ be an orthonormal base for the tangent space T_xM at each point $x \in M-\overline{U}$ and $\psi_1(t)$ a function of $t \in [r_0,\infty)$. Then,* *for any real numbers γ, ε, and $0 \le s < t$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{S(t)} r^{\gamma}\left\{\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}\right)^2+\frac{1}{2}q|v|^2-\frac{1}{2}|\nabla v|^2+\frac{\gamma+\psi_1(r)}{2r}\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}v\right\}dA\\
&+\int_{S(s)} r^{\gamma}\left\{\frac{1}{2}|\nabla v|^2-\frac{1}{2}q|v|^2-\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}\right)^2-\frac{\gamma+\psi_1(r)}{2r}\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}v\right\}dA\\
=&\int_{B(s,t)} r^{\gamma-1}\left\{r(\nabla dr)(\nabla v,\nabla v)-\frac{1}{2}\big(r\Delta r-\psi_1(r)\big)\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\left(dv(X_i)\right)^2\right\}dv_g\\
&+\int_{B(s,t)} r^{\gamma-1}\left\{\gamma-\frac{1}{2}\big(r\Delta r-\psi_1(r)\big)+2r\rho'(r)\right\}\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}\right)^2 dv_g\\
&+\frac{1}{2}\int_{B(s,t)} r^{\gamma-1}\left\{r\left(\frac{\partial q}{\partial r}\right)+q\big(r\Delta r-\psi_1(r)\big)\right\}|v|^2\,dv_g\\
&+\frac{1}{2}\int_{B(s,t)} r^{\gamma-1}\left\{\left(\frac{\gamma-1}{r}+2\rho'(r)\right)\big(\gamma+\psi_1(r)\big)+\psi_1'(r)\right\}\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}v\,dv_g.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Set $\psi=\frac{1}{2}r^{\gamma-1}\big(\gamma+\psi_1(r)\big)$ in Proposition 3.1. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\left(\int_{S(t)}-\int_{S(s)}\right)r^{\gamma-1}\big(\gamma+\psi_1(r)\big)\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}v\,dA\\
=&\frac{1}{2}\int_{B(s,t)} r^{\gamma-1}\big(\gamma+\psi_1(r)\big)\left\{|\nabla v|^2-q|v|^2\right\}dv_g\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{2}\int_{B(s,t)} r^{\gamma-2}\Big\{\big(\gamma-1+2r\rho'(r)\big)\big(\gamma+\psi_1(r)\big)+r\psi_1'(r)\Big\}\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}v\,dv_g.
\end{aligned}$$

Addition of this equation to the equation in Proposition 3.2, we get Proposition 3.3. ☐

Lemma 3.1. *For any $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$, we have*

$$\left(\int_{S(t)}-\int_{S(s)}\right)r^{\beta}|v|^2\,dA=\int_{B(s,t)} r^{\beta}\left\{\left(\Delta r+\frac{\beta}{r}\right)|v|^2+2v\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}\right\}dv_g. \tag{6}$$

Lemma 3.2. *For any $m \in \mathbf{R}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B(x,\infty)} r^{1-2m}\left\{|\nabla v|^2-q|v|^2\right\}dv_g\\
=&-\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dx}\left(x^{1-2m}\int_{S(x)}|v|^2\,dA\right)-\frac{1}{2}\int_{S(x)} r^{-2m}\left\{2m-1-r\Delta r\right\}|v|^2\,dA\\
&-\int_{B(x,\infty)} r^{-2m}\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}\right)v\,dv_g,
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.1 and 3.2 are obtained by setting $c=0$ in [18], Proposition 3.1 and 3.3, respectively; Lemma 3.1 also follows from [18], Lemma 3.2; Lemma 3.2 is also got by putting $c=0$ in [18], equations (32) and (33).

4. FASTER THAN POLYNOMIAL DECAY

Let (M, g) be an n-dimensional noncompact complete Riemannian manifold and U an open subset of M. We assume that $E := M - \overline{U}$ is an end with radial coordinates. We denote $r = \operatorname{dist}(U, *)$ on E. Let us set

$$\widetilde{g} = g - dr \otimes dr$$

and assume that there exists $r_0 > 0$ such that

$$(*_1) \qquad \left\{ \frac{f'(r)}{f(r)} - \frac{a}{r} \right\} \widetilde{g} \le \nabla dr \le \left\{ \frac{f'(r)}{f(r)} + \frac{b}{r} \right\} \widetilde{g} \qquad \text{on } B(r_0, \infty),$$

where $f(t)$ is a positive-valued C^∞ function of $t \in [r_0, \infty)$, and a and b are positive constants. In the sequel, we shall often use the following notation for simplicity:

$$\begin{aligned} &\widehat{a} = (n-1)a;\ \widehat{b} = (n-1)b;\ \widehat{b}_1(r) = (n-1)b_1(r); \\ &A(r) = \frac{f'(r)}{f(r)};\ K(r) = -\frac{f''(r)}{f(r)}; \\ &\widehat{A}(r) = (n-1)A(r);\ \widehat{K}(r) = (n-1)K(r). \end{aligned}$$

We assume that

$$(*_2) \qquad A(r) \ge \frac{A_0}{r} \qquad \text{for } r \ge r_0;$$

$$(*_3) \qquad 2(A_0 - a) > (n-1)a + (n-1)b;$$

$$(*_4) \qquad \lim_{r \to \infty} A(r) = 0;$$

$$(*_5) \qquad -\widehat{K}_1 \le r\widehat{A}'(r) = -r\left(\widehat{A}(r)A(r) + \widehat{K}(r)\right) \le \widehat{K}_2 \qquad \text{for } r \ge r_0$$

and set

$$(*_{5'}) \qquad \widehat{K}_3 = \max\{\widehat{K}_1, \widehat{K}_2\},$$

where A_0, K_1, and K_2 are positive constants.

Proposition 4.1. *Assume that there exist a positive-valued C^∞ function $f(t)$ of $t \in [r_0, \infty)$ and constants $r_0 > 0$, a, and b such that $(*_1)$, $(*_2)$, $(*_3)$, $(*_4)$ and $(*_5)$ hold. Let $\lambda > 0$ be a constant and u a solution to*

$$\Delta u + \lambda u = 0 \qquad \text{on } B(r_0, \infty).$$

Moreover, let

$$(*_9) \qquad \gamma > \frac{n-1}{2}(a+b)$$

be a constant and assume that u satisfies the condition:

$$(7) \qquad \liminf_{t \to \infty}\ t^\gamma \int_{S(t)} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right)^2 + |u|^2 \right\} dA = 0.$$

Moreover, we assume that

$$(8) \qquad \lambda > \frac{(\widehat{K}_3)^2}{\{(-\varepsilon_0) - \widehat{a}\}\left\{2\gamma - \widehat{b} - (-\varepsilon_0)\right\}},$$

where ε_0 is a constant satisfying

$$(9) \qquad \widehat{a} < (-\varepsilon_0) < 2\gamma - \widehat{b} \quad \text{and} \quad (-\varepsilon_0) < 2(A_0 - a) - \widehat{b}.$$

Then, we have for any $m > 0$

$$\int_{B(r_0,\infty)} r^m \left\{|u|^2 + |\nabla u|^2\right\} dv_g < \infty. \tag{10}$$

Remark 4.1. The conditions (8) and (9) can be written as follows:

$$\lambda > \frac{(\widehat{K}_3)^2}{\{y_1 - \widehat{a}\}\left\{2\gamma - \widehat{b} - y_1\right\}}, \tag{11}$$

where $y_1 = \min\left\{\frac{2\gamma+\widehat{a}-\widehat{b}}{2}, 2(A_0 - a) - \widehat{b}\right\}$.

Proof. We shall combine Proposition 3.3 and Lemma 3.1; put $\psi_1(r) = r\widehat{A}(r) + \varepsilon$ and $\rho(r) = 0$ in Proposition 3.3; set $\beta = \gamma - 1$ in Lemma 3.1 and multiply (6) by a positive constant α. Then $v = u$ and $q = \lambda$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{S(t)} r^\gamma \left\{\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda|u|^2 + \frac{\gamma + r\widehat{A}(r) + \varepsilon}{2r}\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}u + \frac{\alpha}{r}|u|^2\right\} dA \\
&\quad + \int_{S(s)} r^\gamma \left\{\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda|u|^2 - \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right)^2 - \frac{\gamma + r\widehat{A}(r) + \varepsilon}{2r}\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}u - \frac{\alpha}{r}|u|^2\right\} dA \\
&\geq \int_{B(s,t)} r^{\gamma-1}\left\{r(\nabla dr)(\nabla u, \nabla u) - \frac{1}{2}\left(r\Delta r - r\widehat{A}(r) - \varepsilon\right)\widetilde{g}(\nabla u, \nabla u)\right\} dv_g \\
&\quad + \int_{B(s,t)} r^{\gamma-1}\left\{\gamma - \frac{1}{2}\left(r\Delta r - r\widehat{A}(r) - \varepsilon\right)\right\}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right)^2 dv_g \\
&\quad + \int_{B(s,t)} r^{\gamma-1}\left\{\frac{\lambda}{2}\left(r\Delta r - r\widehat{A}(r) - \varepsilon\right) + \alpha\left(\Delta r + \frac{\gamma-1}{r}\right)\right\}|u|^2\, dv_g \\
&\quad + \int_{B(s,t)} r^{\gamma-1}\left\{r\widehat{A}'(r) + 2\alpha + P(r)\right\}\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}u\, dv_g,
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where we set

$$P(r) = \left\{\frac{(\gamma-1)(n-1)}{2} + 1\right\}A(r) + \frac{(\gamma-1)(\gamma+\varepsilon)}{2r} \tag{13}$$

for simplicity.

Now, our assumptions $(*_9)$ and $(*_3)$ respectively imply that $2\gamma - \widehat{b} > \widehat{a}$ and $2A_0 - 2a - \widehat{b} > \widehat{a}$. Hence, we can take $-\varepsilon = -\varepsilon_0$ so that

$$2\gamma - \widehat{b} > (-\varepsilon_0) > \widehat{a}; \tag{14}$$

$$2A_0 - 2a - \widehat{b} > (-\varepsilon_0). \tag{15}$$

Then, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&r(\nabla dr)(\nabla u, \nabla u) - \frac{1}{2}\left(r\Delta r - r\widehat{A}(r) - \varepsilon_0\right)\widetilde{g}(\nabla u, \nabla u) \\
&\geq \left\{rA(r) - a - \frac{r}{2}\left(\widehat{A}(r) + \frac{\widehat{b}}{r}\right) + \frac{r}{2}\widehat{A}(r) + \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2}\right\}\widetilde{g}(\nabla u, \nabla u) \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2}\{2A_0 - 2a - \widehat{b} + \varepsilon_0\}\,\widetilde{g}(\nabla u, \nabla u),
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where

$$(17) \qquad 2A_0 - 2a - \widehat{b} + \varepsilon_0 > 0 \qquad \text{(by (15))}.$$

Moreover,

$$(18) \qquad \begin{aligned} \gamma - \frac{1}{2}\left(r\Delta r - r\widehat{A}(r) - \varepsilon_0\right) &\geq \gamma - \frac{r}{2}\left(\widehat{A}(r) + \frac{\widehat{b}}{r}\right) + \frac{r}{2}\widehat{A}(r) + \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\{2\gamma - \widehat{b} + \varepsilon_0\} > 0 \qquad \text{(by (14))}; \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(19) \qquad \begin{aligned} &\frac{\lambda}{2}\left\{r\Delta r - r\widehat{A}(r) - \varepsilon_0 + \alpha\left(\Delta r + \frac{\gamma-1}{r}\right)\right\} \\ \geq &\frac{\lambda}{2}\left\{r\left(\widehat{A}(r) - \frac{\widehat{a}}{r}\right) - r\widehat{A}(r) - \varepsilon_0 + \alpha\left(\widehat{A}(r) - \frac{\widehat{a}}{r} + \frac{\gamma-1}{r}\right)\right\} \\ = &\frac{\lambda}{2}\left\{-\widehat{a} - \varepsilon_0 + \alpha\left(\widehat{A}(r) - \frac{\widehat{a}}{r} + \frac{\gamma-1}{r}\right)\right\} \\ \geq &\frac{\lambda}{2}\left\{-\widehat{a} - \varepsilon_0 + \alpha\left(\widehat{A}_0 - \widehat{a} + \gamma - 1\right)\frac{1}{r}\right\} > 0 \qquad \text{for } r >> 1 \quad \text{(by (14))}, \end{aligned}$$

Now, from our assumption (8), we take $\alpha > 0$ sufficiently small so that

$$(20) \qquad (-\widehat{a} - \varepsilon_0)(2\gamma - \widehat{b} + \varepsilon_0)\lambda - (\widehat{K}_3 + 2\alpha)^2 > 0.$$

Then, a simple calculation using (20) implies that there exists a constant $c_1 = c_1(a, b, \gamma, K_3, \alpha) > 0$ such that

$$(21) \qquad \begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2}\left(2\gamma - \widehat{b} + \varepsilon_0\right)\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2}(-\widehat{a} - \varepsilon_0)|u|^2 + (\widehat{K}_3 + 2\alpha)\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}u \\ \geq &2c_1\left\{\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right)^2 + |u|^2\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Putting together ($*_5$), ($*_{5'}$), (12), (13), (16), (17), (18), (19), and (21), we see that there exist a constant $r_2 = r_2(a, b, A_0, \gamma, \varepsilon_0, A(*)) > 0$ such that for any $t > s \geq r_2$ the right hand side of (12) is bounded from below by

$$c_1 \int_{B(s,t)} r^{\gamma-1}\{|\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2\}\, dv_g,$$

that is,

$$(22) \qquad \begin{aligned} &\int_{S(t)} r^{\gamma}\left\{\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda|u|^2 + \frac{\gamma-\varepsilon}{2r}\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}u + \frac{\alpha}{r}|u|^2\right\} dA \\ &+ \int_{S(s)} r^{\gamma}\left\{\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda|u|^2 - \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right)^2 - \frac{\gamma-\varepsilon}{2r}\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}u - \frac{\alpha}{r}|u|^2\right\} dA \\ \geq &c_1 \int_{B(s,t)} r^{\gamma-1}\{|\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2\}\, dv_g. \end{aligned}$$

Besides, by Shwarz inequality, for $r \geq r_3 := \max\{r_2, \frac{(\gamma-\varepsilon)^2}{4\alpha}\}$,

$$-\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right)^2 - \frac{\gamma-\varepsilon}{2r}\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}u - \frac{\alpha}{r}|u|^2 \leq -\frac{1}{r}\left\{\alpha - \frac{(\gamma-\varepsilon)^2}{4r}\right\}|u|^2 \leq 0,$$

and moreover, (7) implies that there exists a divergent sequence $\{t_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ such that

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\int_{S(t_i)} r^\gamma \left\{\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda|u|^2 + \frac{\gamma-\varepsilon}{2r}\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}u + \frac{\alpha}{r}|u|^2\right\} dA = 0.$$

Hence, substituting $t = t_i$ in (22) and letting $i \to \infty$, we get, for $s \geq r_3$,

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_{S(s)} r^\gamma \left\{|\nabla u|^2 - \lambda|u|^2\right\} dA \geq c_1 \int_{B(s,\infty)} r^{\gamma-1}\left\{|\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2\right\} dv_g. \tag{23}$$

Integrating this inequality with respect to s over $[t, t_1]$ $(r_3 \leq t < t_1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&2c_1 \int_t^{t_1} ds \int_{B(s,\infty)} r^{\gamma-1}\left\{|\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2\right\} dv_g \\
\leq &\int_{B(t,t_1)} r^\gamma \left\{|\nabla u|^2 - q|u|^2\right\} dv_g \\
= &\left(\int_{S(t_1)} - \int_{S(t)}\right) r^\gamma \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} u\, dA - \gamma \int_{B(t,t_1)} r^{\gamma-1}\frac{\partial u}{\partial r} u\, dv_g.
\end{aligned}$$

In the last line, we have used the equation in Proposition 3.1 with $\rho(r) = 0$ and $\psi = r^\gamma$. Since our assumption (7) implies that

$$\liminf_{t_1\to\infty}\int_{S(t_1)} r^\gamma \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} u\, dA = 0,$$

letting $t_1 \to \infty$ and using Fubini's theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&2c_1 \int_t^\infty ds \int_{B(s,\infty)} r^{\gamma-1}\left\{|\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2\right\} dv_g \\
=&2c_1 \int_{B(t,\infty)} (r-t) r^{\gamma-1}\left\{|\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2\right\} dv_g \\
\leq &\int_{S(t)} r^\gamma \left\{\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right)^2 + |u|^2\right\} dA + \gamma \int_{B(t,\infty)} r^{\gamma-1}\left\{\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right)^2 + |u|^2\right\} dv_g < \infty,
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

where the right hand side of this inequality is finite by (23). Hence we see that the desired assertion (10) holds for $m = \gamma$.

Next, integrating this inequality (24) with respect to t over $[t_1, \infty)$ $(t_1 \geq r_3)$ and using Fubini's theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&2c_1 \int_{B(t,\infty)} (r-t)^2 r^{\gamma-1}\left\{|\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2\right\} dv_g \\
\leq &\int_{B(t,\infty)} r^\gamma \left\{\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right)^2 + |u|^2\right\} dv_g + \gamma \int_{B(t,\infty)} (r-t) r^{\gamma-1}\left\{\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right)^2 + |u|^2\right\} dv_g \\
<&\infty,
\end{aligned}$$

where the right hand side of this inequality is finite by (24). Thus, we see that the desired assertion (10) holds for $m = \gamma + 1$. Repeating the integration with respect to t shows that the assertion (10) is valid for $m = \gamma + 2, \gamma + 3, \cdots$, therefore, for any $m > 0$. $\square$

5. Exponential decay

Proposition 5.1. *To the assumptions in Proposition* 4.1, *we shall add the following two assumptions*:

$$\frac{\widehat{B}_0}{\sqrt{r}} \geq \widehat{A}(r) \qquad \text{for } r \geq r_0; \tag{$*_6$}$$

$$\mathrm{Ric}_g(\nabla r, \nabla r) \geq -\frac{\widehat{b}_1}{r} \qquad \text{on } B(r_0, \infty), \tag{$*_7$}$$

where B_0 *and* b_1 *are positive constants. Then, we have*

$$\int_{B(r_0,\infty)} e^{\eta r} \left\{ |u|^2 + |\nabla u|^2 \right\} dv_g < \infty \qquad \text{for any } 0 < \eta < \eta_1(\lambda, a, b),$$

where we set

$$\eta_1(\lambda, a, b) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{-c_6 + \sqrt{(c_6)^2 + 4c_7 c_0 \lambda}}{c_0} & \textit{if} \quad c_0 > 0, \\ \dfrac{c_7}{c_6} \lambda & \textit{if} \quad c_0 \leq 0; \end{cases}$$

$$c_0 = 2 - A_0 + (n+1)a + \widehat{b}; \ c_6 = \widehat{b}_1 + \frac{(\widehat{B}_0)^2}{8};$$
$$c_7 = 2(A_0 - a) - \widehat{a} - \widehat{b} \ (> 0).$$

Proof. In Proposition 3.3, let

$$\rho(r) = m \log r \ (m \geq 1, \widehat{b}); \ \gamma = 1; \ \psi_1(r) = r\widehat{A}(r) + \varepsilon;$$
$$\varepsilon = -2A_0 + 2a + \widehat{b}. \tag{25}$$

Then,

$$v = r^m u;$$
$$\begin{aligned} q &= \frac{m^2}{r^2} + \frac{m}{r^2} - \frac{m}{r}\Delta r + \lambda \\ &\geq \lambda + \frac{m^2}{r^2}\left(1 - \frac{\widehat{b} - 1}{m}\right) - \frac{m}{r}\widehat{A}(r); \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

$$r\frac{\partial q}{\partial r} = -\frac{2m^2}{r^2} - \frac{2m}{r^2} + \frac{m}{r}\Delta r - m\frac{\partial(\Delta r)}{\partial r};$$

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\partial(\Delta r)}{\partial r} &= |\nabla dr|^2 + \mathrm{Ric}_g(\nabla r, \nabla r) \\ &\geq (n-1)\left\{A(r) - \frac{a}{r}\right\}^2 - \frac{\widehat{b}_1}{r} \\ &= \widehat{A}(r)A(r) - \frac{2a\widehat{A}(r) + \widehat{b}_1}{r} + \frac{\widehat{a}a}{r^2} \\ &\geq -\frac{\widehat{b}_1 + P_1(r)}{r}, \end{aligned} \tag{$*_{10}$}$$

where we have used the identity $-\frac{\partial(\Delta r)}{\partial r} = |\nabla dr|^2 + \mathrm{Ric}\,(\nabla r, \nabla r)$ (see [18], Proposition 2.3), and also, we set

$$P_1(r) = \frac{a(2\widehat{A}_0 - \widehat{a}) + \widehat{A}_0 A_0}{r}$$

for simplicity. Note that $\lim_{r\to\infty} P_1(r) = 0$.
Moreover, by $(*_3)$,

$$\begin{aligned} r\Delta r - \psi_1(r) &\geq r\widehat{A}(r) - \widehat{a} - r\widehat{A}(r) - \varepsilon \\ &= -\widehat{a} - \varepsilon \\ &= 2(A_0 - a) - \widehat{a} - \widehat{b} > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Hence, we have

$$r\frac{\partial q}{\partial r} \geq -\frac{2m^2}{r^2}\left(1 + \frac{1}{m}\right) - \frac{m}{r}\left(\widehat{b}_1 - \widehat{A}(r) + \frac{\widehat{a}}{r} + P_1(r)\right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} q\big(r\Delta r - \psi_1(r)\big) \geq& \left\{\lambda + \frac{m^2}{r^2}\left(1 - \frac{\widehat{b}-1}{m}\right) - \frac{m}{r}\widehat{A}(r)\right\}(-\widehat{a} - \varepsilon) \\ =&(-\widehat{a} - \varepsilon)\lambda - \frac{m}{r}(-\widehat{a} - \varepsilon)\widehat{A}(r) + \frac{m^2}{r^2}\left(1 - \frac{\widehat{b}-1}{m}\right)(-\widehat{a} - \varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$r\frac{\partial q}{\partial r} + q(r\Delta r - \psi_1(r)) \geq (-\widehat{a} - \varepsilon)\lambda - \frac{m}{r}\left(\widehat{b}_1 + P_2(r)\right) - \frac{m^2}{r^2}c_1(m),$$

where we set

$$\begin{aligned} &P_2(r) = (-\widehat{a} - \varepsilon - 1)\widehat{A}(r) + \frac{\widehat{a}}{r} + P_1(r); \\ &c_1(m) = 2 - (-\widehat{a} - \varepsilon) + \frac{1}{m}\left\{2 + (\widehat{b} - 1)(-\widehat{a} - \varepsilon)\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Note that

$$-\widehat{a} - \varepsilon > 0 \text{ (see (27))}; \quad \lim_{r\to\infty} P_2(r) = 0.$$

We do not know the sign of the number $c_0 = 2 - (-\widehat{a} - \varepsilon) = 2 - A_0 + (n+1)a + \widehat{b}$, and hence, for any $\theta \in (0,1)$, let us set

$$c_3 = \max\{\theta, c_0\} \ (> 0). \tag{29}$$

Then,

$$c_1(m) \leq c_3 + \theta \qquad \text{for } m \geq m_1(A_0, a, b), \tag{30}$$

and hence, for $m \geq m_1$,

$$r\frac{\partial q}{\partial r} + q(r\Delta r - \psi_1(r)) \geq (-\widehat{a} - \varepsilon)\lambda - \frac{m}{r}\left(\widehat{b}_1 + P_2(r)\right) - \frac{m^2}{r^2}(c_3 + \theta). \tag{31}$$

Besides,

$$\begin{aligned}
&r(\nabla dr)(\nabla v,\nabla v) - \frac{1}{2}\left(r\Delta r - r\widehat{A}(r) - \varepsilon\right)\widetilde{g}(\nabla v,\nabla v)\\
\geq &\left\{rA(r) - a - \frac{1}{2}\left(r\widehat{A}(r) + \widehat{b} - r\widehat{A}(r) - \varepsilon\right)\right\}\widetilde{g}(\nabla v,\nabla v)\\
= &\frac{1}{2}\left\{2r\widehat{A}(r) - 2a - \widehat{b} + \varepsilon\right\}\widetilde{g}(\nabla v,\nabla v)\\
\geq &\frac{1}{2}\left\{2A_0 - 2a - \widehat{b} + \varepsilon\right\}\widetilde{g}(\nabla v,\nabla v) = 0 \qquad \text{(by (25))}
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&1 - \frac{1}{2}\left(r\Delta r - r\widehat{A}(r) - \varepsilon\right) + 2m\\
\geq &1 - \frac{1}{2}\left(r\widehat{A}(r) + \widehat{b} - r\widehat{A}(r) - \varepsilon\right) + 2m\\
= &2m + 1 - \frac{1}{2}(\widehat{b} - \varepsilon) = 2m + 1 - A_0 + a > 0\\
&\qquad\qquad \text{for } m > m_2 := \max\left\{m_1, \frac{A_0 - a - 1}{2}\right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

Hence, by Proposition 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{S(t)} r\left\{\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}q|v|^2 + \frac{1+\varepsilon + r\widehat{A}(r)}{2r}\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}v\right\} dA\\
&+ \frac{1}{2}\int_{S(s)} r\left\{|\nabla v|^2 - q|v|^2\right\} dA - \int_{S(s)} r\left\{\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}\right)^2 + \frac{1+\varepsilon + r\widehat{A}(r)}{2r}\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}v\right\} dA\\
\geq &\frac{1}{2}\int_{B(s,t)}\left\{(-\widehat{a} - \varepsilon)\lambda - \frac{m}{r}\left(\widehat{b}_1 + P_2(r)\right) - \frac{m^2}{r^2}(c_3 + \theta)\right\}|v|^2\, dv_g\\
&+ (2m + 1 - A_0 + a)\int_{B(s,t)}\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}\right)^2 dv_g\\
&+ \int_{B(s,t)}\left\{\frac{m}{r}\left(1 + \varepsilon + r\widehat{A}(r)\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\widehat{A}(r) + r\widehat{A}\,'(r)\right)\right\}\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}v\, dv_g
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

for $m > m_2$. On the other hand, Lemma 3.1 with $\beta = 0$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\int_{S(t)} - \int_{S(s)}\right)|v|^2\, dA = &\int_{B(s,t)}\left\{(\Delta r)\,|v|^2 + 2v\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}\right\} dv_g\\
\geq &\int_{B(s,t)}\left\{\left(\widehat{A}(r) - \frac{\widehat{a}}{r}\right)|v|^2 + 2v\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}\right\} dv_g
\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Multiplying this inequality (35) by a constant

$$\alpha \in \left(\frac{(\widehat{B}_0)^2}{16} + 1, \frac{(\widehat{B}_0)^2}{16} + 2\right) \tag{36}$$

and addition of it to (34) make

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{S(t)} r\left\{\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}\right)^2+\frac{1}{2}q|v|^2+\frac{1+\varepsilon+r\widehat{A}(r)}{2r}\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}v+\frac{\alpha}{r}|v|^2\right\}dA\\
&+\frac{1}{2}\int_{S(s)} r\bigl\{|\nabla v|^2-q|v|^2\bigr\}\,dA\\
&-\int_{S(s)} r\left\{\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}\right)^2+\frac{1+\varepsilon+r\widehat{A}(r)}{2r}\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}v+\frac{\alpha}{r}|v|^2\right\}dA\\
\geq&\frac{1}{2}\int_{B(s,t)}\left\{(-\widehat{a}-\varepsilon)\lambda+\alpha\widehat{A}(r)-\frac{\alpha\widehat{a}}{r}-\frac{m}{r}\left(\widehat{b}_1+P_2(r)\right)-\frac{m^2}{r^2}(c_3+\theta)\right\}|v|^2\,dv_g\\
&+(2m+1-A_0+a)\int_{B(s,t)}\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}\right)^2dv_g+\int_{B(s,t)}P_3(\alpha,m,r)\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}v\,dv_g
\end{aligned}
\tag{37}
$$

for $m>m_2$, where we set

$$
P_3(\alpha,m,r)=2\alpha+\frac{1}{2}\left(r\widehat{A}\,'(r)+\widehat{A}(r)\right)+\frac{m}{r}\left(1+\varepsilon+r\widehat{A}(r)\right)
$$

for simplicity. Substituting the inequality

$$
P_3(\alpha,m,r)\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}v\geq-(2m+1-A_0+a)\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}\right)^2-\frac{P_3(\alpha,m,r)^2}{4(2m+1-A_0+a)}|v|^2,
$$

into (37), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{S(t)} r\left\{\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}\right)^2+\frac{1}{2}q|v|^2+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1+\varepsilon}{r}+\widehat{A}(r)\right)\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}v+\frac{\alpha}{r}|v|^2\right\}dA\\
&+\frac{1}{2}\int_{S(s)} r\bigl\{|\nabla v|^2-q|v|^2\bigr\}\,dA\\
&-\int_{S(s)} r\left\{\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}\right)^2+\frac{1+\varepsilon+r\widehat{A}(r)}{2r}\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}v+\frac{\alpha}{r}|v|^2\right\}dA\\
\geq&\int_{B(s,t)}H(\alpha,r,m)\,|v|^2\,dv_g,
\end{aligned}
\tag{38}
$$

where we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
&H(\alpha,r,m)\\
=&(-\widehat{a}-\varepsilon)\lambda+\alpha\widehat{A}(r)-\frac{\alpha\widehat{a}}{r}-\frac{P_3(\alpha,m,r)^2}{4(2m+1-A_0+a)}-\frac{m}{r}\left(\widehat{b}_1+P_2(r)\right)\\
&-\frac{m^2}{r^2}\,(c_3+\theta)\\
=&(-\widehat{a}-\varepsilon)\lambda-\frac{\left(4\alpha+r\widehat{A}\,'(r)+\widehat{A}(r)\right)^2}{16(2m+1-A_0+a)}+P_5(r,\alpha,m)\\
&-\frac{m}{r}\left\{\widehat{b}_1+\frac{r(\widehat{A}(r))^2}{4(2+\frac{1-A_0+a}{m})}+P_6(r)\right\}-\frac{m^2}{r^2}\,(c_3+\theta)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_5(r,\alpha,m) &= \alpha\widehat{A}(r) - \frac{\alpha\widehat{a}}{r} - \frac{4\alpha + r\widehat{A}\,'(r) + \widehat{A}(r)}{4\left(2 + \frac{1-A_0+a}{m}\right)}\left(\frac{1+\varepsilon}{r} + \widehat{A}(r)\right);\\
P_6(r,m) &= \frac{1}{4(2+\frac{1-A_0+a}{m})}\left\{\frac{(1+\varepsilon)^2}{r} + 2(1+\varepsilon)\widehat{A}(r)\right\} + P_2(r).
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, note the following: $\lim_{r\to\infty} P_5(r,\alpha,m) = 0$ uniformly for $\alpha \in \left(\frac{(\widehat{B}_0)^2}{16}+1, \frac{(\widehat{B}_0)^2}{16}+2\right)$ and $m > m_2$ because $\left|r\widehat{A}\,'(r)\right| \le \widehat{K}_3$; $\lim_{r\to\infty} P_6(r,m) = 0$ uniformly for $m > m_2$; $r(\widehat{A}(r))^2 \le (\widehat{B}_0)^2$. Therefore, for any $\theta \in (0,1)$, if we take $r_4 = r_4(A_0, a, A(*), b, K_3, \lambda)$ and $m_3 = m_3(A_0, a, \widehat{B}_0)$ $(> m_2)$ sufficiently large, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(\alpha,r,m) &\ge (1-\theta)(-\widehat{a}-\varepsilon)\lambda - \frac{m}{r}c_4 - \frac{m^2}{r^2}(c_3+\theta)\\
&\qquad \text{for } \alpha \in \left(\frac{(\widehat{B}_0)^2}{16}+1, \frac{(\widehat{B}_0)^2}{16}+2\right),\ r \ge r_4,\ \text{and } m \ge m_3,
\end{aligned}
$$

where we set

$$
c_4 = \widehat{b}_1 + \frac{(1+\theta)(\widehat{B}_0)^2}{8}. \tag{39}
$$

Since $\lim_{r\to\infty} q = \lambda$ by (26), Proposition 4.1 implies that $|\nabla v|$ and v are in $L^2\big(B(r_0,\infty), dv_g\big)$, and hence,

$$
\liminf_{t\to\infty} \int_{S(t)} r\left\{\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}q|v|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1+\varepsilon}{r} + \widehat{A}(r)\right)\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}v + \frac{\alpha}{r}|v|^2\right\} dA = 0.
$$

Therefore, substituting appropriate divergent sequence $\{t_i\}$ for t in (38), and letting $t_i \to \infty$, we get

(40)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{S(s)} r\left\{|\nabla v|^2 - q|v|^2\right\} dA - 2\int_{S(s)} r\left\{\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}\right)^2 + \frac{1+\varepsilon+r\widehat{A}(r)}{2r}\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}v + \frac{\alpha}{r}|v|^2\right\} dA\\
\ge&2\int_{B(s,\infty)}\left\{(1-\theta)(-\widehat{a}-\varepsilon)\lambda - \frac{m}{r}c_4 - \frac{m^2}{r^2}(c_3+\theta)\right\}|v|^2\, dv_g.
\end{aligned}
$$

Multiplying both side of (40) by s^{-2m} and integrating it with respect to s over $[x, \infty)$ $(x > r_4)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(41) \qquad & \int_{B(x,\infty)} r^{1-2m} \left\{ |\nabla v|^2 - q|v|^2 \right\} dv_g \\
& - 2 \int_{B(x,\infty)} r^{1-2m} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right)^2 + \frac{1+\varepsilon + r\widehat{A}(r)}{2r} \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} v + \frac{\alpha}{r} |v|^2 \right\} dv_g \\
\geq & 2 \int_x^\infty s^{-2m}\, ds \int_{B(s,\infty)} \left\{ (1-\theta)(-\widehat{a} - \varepsilon)\lambda - \frac{m}{r} c_4 - \frac{m^2}{r^2} (c_3 + \theta) \right\} |v|^2 \, dv_g \\
\geq & 2 \int_x^\infty \left\{ (1-\theta)(-\widehat{a} - \varepsilon)\lambda - \frac{m}{s} c_4 - \frac{m^2}{s^2} (c_3 + \theta) \right\} s^{-2m}\, ds \int_{B(s,\infty)} |v|^2 \, dv_g \\
\geq & 2 \left\{ (1-\theta)(-\widehat{a} - \varepsilon)\lambda - \frac{m}{x} c_4 - \frac{m^2}{x^2} (c_3 + \theta) \right\} \int_x^\infty s^{-2m}\, ds \int_{B(s,\infty)} |v|^2 \, dv_g
\end{aligned}$$

for $m \geq m_3$. Substitution of the equation in Lemma 3.2 into (41) makes

$$\begin{aligned}
(42) \qquad & -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dx} \left(x^{1-2m} \int_{S(x)} |v|^2 \, dA \right) - \frac{1}{2} \int_{S(x)} r^{-2m} \left\{ 2m - 1 \right\} |v|^2 \, dA \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \int_{S(x)} r^{1-2m} (\Delta r) |v|^2 \, dA \\
& - \int_{B(x,\infty)} r^{1-2m} \left\{ 2 \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{2+\varepsilon}{r} + \widehat{A}(r) \right) \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} v + 2\frac{\alpha}{r} |v|^2 \right\} dv_g \\
\geq & 2 \left\{ (1-\theta)(-\widehat{a} - \varepsilon)\lambda - \frac{m}{x} c_4 - \frac{m^2}{x^2} (c_3 + \theta) \right\} \int_x^\infty s^{-2m}\, ds \int_{B(s,\infty)} |v|^2 \, dv_g .
\end{aligned}$$

Here, by using $(*_6)$, the third term of the left hand side of (42) is bounded as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \int_{S(x)} r^{1-2m} (\Delta r) |v|^2 \, dA \leq & \frac{1}{2} \int_{S(x)} r^{\frac{1}{2}-2m} \left(\sqrt{r}\widehat{A}(r) + \frac{\widehat{b}}{\sqrt{r}} \right) |v|^2 \, dA \\
\leq & \frac{(1+\theta)\widehat{B}_0}{2\sqrt{x}} \int_{S(x)} r^{1-2m} |v|^2 \, dA \quad \text{for } x \geq r_5 = \left(\frac{\widehat{b}}{\theta \widehat{B}_0} \right)^2 .
\end{aligned}$$

As for the fourth term of the left hand side of (42), since $16\alpha - (\widehat{B}_0)^2 > 1$ by (36), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&2\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{2+\varepsilon}{r} + \widehat{A}(r)\right)\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}v + \frac{2\alpha}{r}|v|^2 \\
\geq&\frac{1}{2r}\left\{\frac{2\alpha}{r} - \frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{2+\varepsilon}{r} + \widehat{A}(r)\right)^2\right\}|v|^2 \\
=&\frac{1}{8r^2}\left\{16\alpha - r(\widehat{A}(r))^2 + 2(2+\varepsilon)\widehat{A}(r) + \frac{(2+\varepsilon)^2}{r}\right\}|v|^2 \\
\geq&\frac{1}{8r^2}\left\{16\alpha - (\widehat{B}_0)^2 + 2(2+\varepsilon)\widehat{A}(r) + \frac{(2+\varepsilon)^2}{r}\right\}|v|^2 \\
\geq&\frac{1}{8r^2}\left\{1 + 2(2+\varepsilon)\widehat{A}(r) + \frac{(2+\varepsilon)^2}{r}\right\}|v|^2 \geq 0 \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\text{for } r \geq r_6 = r_6(\varepsilon, \widehat{A}(*)) \ (\geq r_4).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for any $m \geq m_4 = m_4(m_3, \theta)$ and $x \geq r_7 := \max\{r_5, r_6\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(43)\qquad &-\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dx}\left(x^{1-2m}\int_{S(x)}|v|^2\,dA\right) - \frac{(1-\theta)m}{x}\left(x^{1-2m}\int_{S(x)}|v|^2\,dA\right) \\
&+\frac{(1+\theta)\widehat{B}_0}{2\sqrt{x}}\left(x^{1-2m}\int_{S(x)}|v|^2\,dA\right) \\
\geq&2\left\{(1-\theta)(-\widehat{a}-\varepsilon)\lambda - \frac{m}{x}c_4 - \frac{m^2}{x^2}(c_3+\theta)\right\}\int_x^\infty s^{-2m}\,ds\int_{B(s,\infty)}|v|^2\,dv_g.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, let $c_5 > 0$ be the solution of the quadratic equation $(1-\theta)(-\widehat{a}-\varepsilon)\lambda - yc_4 - y^2(c_3+\theta) = 0$, that is,

$$(44)\qquad c_5 = \frac{-c_4 + \sqrt{c_4^2 + 4(1-\theta)(-\widehat{a}-\varepsilon)(c_3+\theta)\lambda}}{2(c_3+\theta)}.$$

Moreover, for $m \geq m_3$ and $x \geq r_7$, we shall set

$$(45)\qquad \frac{m}{x} = c_5 > 0$$

and

$$F(x) = x^{1-2m}\int_{S(x)}|v|^2\,dA = x\int_{S(x)}|u|^2\,dA.$$

Then, (43) implies that

$$-\frac{1}{2}F'(x) - (1-\theta)c_5F(x) + \frac{(1+\theta)\widehat{B}_0}{2\sqrt{x}}F(x) \geq 0,$$

and hence,

$$(46)\qquad F'(x) \leq -\left\{2(1-\theta)c_5 - \frac{(1+\theta)\widehat{B}_0}{2\sqrt{x}}\right\}F(x) \leq -2(1-\theta)^2c_5F(x)$$

for $x \geq r_8 = r_8(c_5, \theta, \widehat{B}_0)$ ($\geq r_7$). Thus, if we set $G(x) = e^{2(1-\theta)^2 c_5 x} F(x)$, (46) reduces to

$$G(x)' \leq 0 \qquad \text{for } x \geq r_8.$$

Thus, $G(x) \leq G(r_8)$ for $x \geq r_8$, that is,

$$F(x) = x \int_{S(x)} |u|^2 \, dA \leq e^{-2(1-\theta)^2 c_5 x} G(r_8) \qquad \text{for } x \geq r_8. \tag{47}$$

On the other hand, in general, for $\beta > 0$ and $\delta > 0$,

$$\lim_{t \to +0} \frac{\sqrt{\beta^2 + 4\delta t} - \beta}{2t} = \frac{\delta}{\beta}. \tag{48}$$

Now, in view of (27), (29), (39), (44), and (48), we see that (47) implies that

$$\int_{B(r_0,\infty)} e^{\eta r} |u|^2 \, dv_g < \infty \qquad \text{for any } 0 < \eta < \eta_1(\lambda, a, b). \tag{49}$$

Next, we shall show that Proposition 3.1 and (49) yield

$$\int_{B(r_0,\infty)} e^{\eta r} |\nabla u|^2 \, dv_g < \infty \qquad \text{for any } 0 < \eta < \eta_1(\lambda, a, b).$$

For that purpose, first consider the integral

$$g(R) = 2 \int_{B(r_0,R)} e^{\eta r} u \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \, dv_g.$$

Then, Green's formula yields

$$\begin{aligned} g(R) =& \frac{1}{\eta} \int_{B(r_0,R)} \left\langle \nabla \left(e^{\eta r}\right), \nabla \left(u^2\right) \right\rangle \, dv_g \\ =& \frac{1}{\eta} \left(\int_{S(R)} - \int_{S(r_0)} \right) e^{\eta r} |u|^2 \, dA - \int_{B(r_0,R)} (\Delta r + \eta) e^{\eta r} |u|^2 \, dv_g. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lim_{r \to \infty} \Delta r = 0$, (49) implies the existence of the limit, $\lim_{R \to \infty} g(R)$. (Note that we do not assume $0 < \eta \leq \eta_1(\lambda, a, b)$ but assume $0 < \eta < \eta_1(\lambda, a, b)$). In particular,

$$\liminf_{R \to \infty} e^{\eta R} \left| \int_{S(R)} u \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \, dA \right| = 0. \tag{50}$$

In Proposition 3.1, we put $\rho = 0$ and $\psi = e^{\eta r}$. Then $v = u$, $q = \lambda$, and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B(r_0,R)} \left\{ |\nabla u|^2 - \lambda |u|^2 \right\} e^{\eta r} \, dv_g \\ =& \left(\int_{S(R)} - \int_{S(r_0)} \right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} u e^{\eta r} \, dA - \eta \int_{B(r_0,R)} e^{\eta r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} u \, dv_g \\ \leq& \left(\int_{S(R)} - \int_{S(r_0)} \right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} u e^{\eta r} \, dA + \frac{\eta}{2} \int_{B(r_0,R)} e^{\eta r} \left\{ |\nabla u|^2 + \eta^2 |u|^2 \right\} \, dv_g. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\int_{B(r_0,R)} e^{\eta r}|\nabla u|^2\,dv_g\\ &\le \left(\int_{S(R)}-\int_{S(r_0)}\right)\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}ue^{\eta r}\,dA+\int_{B(r_0,R)}\left\{\frac{\eta^2}{2}+\lambda\right\}e^{\eta r}|u|^2\,dv_g.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (49) and (50) imply that

$$\int_{B(r_0,\infty)} e^{\eta r}|\nabla u|^2\,dv_g<\infty \qquad \text{for any } 0<\eta<\eta_1(\lambda,a,b). \tag{51}$$

Thus, from (49) and (51), we get our desired result. ☐

6. VANISHING ON SOME NEIGHBORHOOD OF INFINITY

Proposition 6.1. *Under the assumptions in Proposition* 5.1*, let us add the following assumption*:

$$\lambda>\left\{\frac{4\widehat{b}_1+(\widehat{B}_0)^2}{8\left(2(A_0-a)-\widehat{a}-\widehat{b}\right)}\right\}^2. \tag{$*_8$}$$

Then, we have $u\equiv 0$ on $B(r_0,\infty)$.

Proof. In proposition 3.3, we shall put

$$\begin{aligned}&\gamma=1;\ \rho(r)=kr^\theta\ \left(k\ge 1,\ \theta\in\left(\frac{1}{2},1\right)\right);\ \psi_1(r)=r\widehat{A}(r)+\varepsilon;\\ &\varepsilon=-2A_0+2a+\widehat{b}.\end{aligned}$$

Then, from

$$\begin{aligned}&-\frac{\partial(\Delta r)}{\partial r}=|\nabla dr|^2+\mathrm{Ric}_g(\nabla r,\nabla r)\ge-\frac{\widehat{b}_1+P_1(r)}{r};\\ &r\widehat{A}(r)-\widehat{a}\le r\Delta r\le r\widehat{A}(r)+\widehat{b},\end{aligned} \tag{$*_{10}$}$$

we get

$$v = e^{kr^\theta} u; \tag{52}$$

$$\begin{aligned} q =& \lambda - \rho''(r) - \rho'(r)\Delta r + (\rho'(r))^2 \\ =& \lambda + k\theta(1-\theta)r^{\theta-2} - k\theta r^{\theta-1}\Delta r + k^2\theta^2 r^{2\theta-2} \\ \geq& \lambda + k\theta\left(-\widehat{A}(r) + \frac{1-\theta-\widehat{b}}{r}\right) r^{\theta-1} + k^2\theta^2 r^{2\theta-2}; \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

$$\begin{aligned} r\frac{\partial q}{\partial r} =& -k\theta(1-\theta)(2-\theta)r^{\theta-2} + k\theta(1-\theta)r^{\theta-1}\Delta r \\ & - k\theta r^\theta \frac{\partial(\Delta r)}{\partial r} - 2k^2\theta^2(1-\theta)r^{2\theta-2} \\ \geq& -k\theta(1-\theta)(2-\theta)r^{\theta-2} + k\theta(1-\theta)\left\{r\widehat{A}(r) - \widehat{a}\right\} r^{\theta-2} \\ & - k\theta r^{\theta-1}\left\{\widehat{b}_1 + P_1(r)\right\} - 2k^2\theta^2(1-\theta)r^{2\theta-2} \\ =& -k\theta\left\{\widehat{b}_1 + P_2(r,\theta)\right\} r^{\theta-1} - 2k^2\theta^2(1-\theta)r^{2\theta-2}, \end{aligned}$$

where we set

$$P_2(r,\theta) = (1-\theta)\left(-\widehat{A}(r) + \frac{\widehat{a}+2-\theta}{r}\right) + P_1(r) \tag{54}$$

for simplicity. Note that $\lim_{r\to\infty} P_2(r,\theta) = 0$ uniformly with respect to $\theta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. In addition,

$$r\Delta r - \psi_1(r) \geq -\widehat{a} - \varepsilon = 2(A_0 - a) - \widehat{a} - \widehat{b} > 0. \tag{27}$$

Therefore, on $B(r,\infty)$ $(r >> 1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & r\frac{\partial q}{\partial r} + q(r\Delta r - \psi_1(r)) \\ \geq& -k\theta\left\{\widehat{b}_1 + P_2(r,\theta)\right\} r^{\theta-1} - 2k^2\theta^2(1-\theta)r^{2\theta-2} \\ & + \left\{\lambda + k\theta\left(-\widehat{A}(r) + \frac{1-\theta-\widehat{b}}{r}\right) r^{\theta-1} + k^2\theta^2 r^{2\theta-2}\right\}(-\widehat{a}-\varepsilon) \\ =& (-\widehat{a}-\varepsilon)\lambda - k\theta r^{\theta-1}\left\{\widehat{b}_1 + P_2(r,\theta) + \widehat{A}(r) - \frac{1-\theta-\widehat{b}}{r}\right\} \\ & + k^2\theta^2 r^{2\theta-2}\{(-\widehat{a}-\varepsilon) - 2(1-\theta)\} \\ =& (-\widehat{a}-\varepsilon)\lambda - k\theta r^{\theta-1}\left\{\widehat{b}_1 + P_3(r,\theta)\right\} + k^2\theta^2 r^{2\theta-2}c_8, \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

where we set

$$\begin{aligned} P_3(r,\theta) &= P_2(r,\theta) + \widehat{A}(r) - \frac{1-\theta-\widehat{b}}{r}; \\ c_8 &= (-\widehat{a}-\varepsilon) - 2(1-\theta). \end{aligned}$$

Besides,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(56)\qquad 1-\frac{1}{2}\left(r\Delta r-\psi_1(r)\right)+2r\rho'(r) \geq& 1-\frac{1}{2}\left(r\widehat{A}(r)+\widehat{b}-r\widehat{A}(r)-\varepsilon\right)+2k\theta r^{\theta}\\
=&2k\theta r^{\theta}+c_9;
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
(57)\qquad &\frac{1}{2}\left\{2\rho'(r)(1+\psi_1(r))+\psi_1'(r)\right\}\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}v\\
&=\left\{k\theta r^{\theta-1}\left(1+r\widehat{A}(r)+\varepsilon\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\widehat{A}(r)+r\widehat{A}'(r)\right)\right\}\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}v\\
&\geq-\left\{2k\theta r^{\theta}+c_9\right\}\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}\right)^2\\
&\quad-\frac{\left\{k\theta r^{\theta-1}\left(1+r\widehat{A}(r)+\varepsilon\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\widehat{A}(r)+r\widehat{A}'(r)\right)\right\}^2}{4(2k\theta r^{\theta}+c_9)}|v|^2,
\end{aligned}
$$

where, we set

$$
c_9=1-\frac{1}{2}\left(\widehat{b}-\varepsilon\right)
$$

for simplicity. Here, by using $(*_4)$, $(*_5)$, and $(*_{5'})$, the coefficient of the term $|v|^2$ of (57) is bounded as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(58)\qquad &\frac{\left\{k\theta r^{\theta-1}\left(1+r\widehat{A}(r)+\varepsilon\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\widehat{A}(r)+r\widehat{A}'(r)\right)\right\}^2}{4(2k\theta r^{\theta}+c_9)}\\
&\leq\frac{1}{8}k\theta r^{\theta}\left(\widehat{A}(r)\right)^2+k\theta r^{\theta-1}P_4(r)+P_5(r)\\
&\leq\frac{(\widehat{B}_0)^2}{8}k\theta r^{\theta-1}+k\theta r^{\theta-1}P_4(r)+P_5(r),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $P_4(r)$ and $P_5(r)$ are functions of r which are independent of $k\geq 1$ and $\theta\in(\frac{1}{2},1)$ and satisfy $\lim_{r\to\infty}P_4(r)=\lim_{r\to\infty}P_5(r)=0$. Since $-\widehat{a}-\varepsilon>0$, we can choose $\theta_0\in(\frac{1}{2},1)$ so that

$$
(59)\qquad c_8=(-\widehat{a}-\varepsilon)-2(1-\theta)\geq(1-\theta)\left(-\widehat{a}-\varepsilon\right)>0
$$

for any $\theta\in(\theta_0,1)$. Then, from (55), (56), (57), and (58), we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(60)\qquad &\frac{1}{2}\left\{r\frac{\partial q}{\partial r}+q(r\Delta r-\psi_1(r))\right\}|v|^2+\frac{1}{2}\left\{2\rho'(r)(1+\psi_1(r))+\psi_1'(r)\right\}\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}v\\
&\qquad\qquad+\left\{1-\frac{1}{2}\left(r\Delta r-\psi_1(r)\right)+2r\rho'(r)\right\}\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}\right)^2\\
&\geq\frac{1}{2}\left\{\left(-\widehat{a}-\varepsilon\right)\lambda-k\theta r^{\theta-1}\left(\widehat{b}_1+\frac{(\widehat{B}_0)^2}{4}+P_6(r)\right)+k^2\theta^2r^{2\theta-2}c_8\right\}|v|^2,
\end{aligned}
$$

where a function $P_6(r)$ is independent of $k\geq 1$ and $\theta\in(\theta_0,1)$ and satisfies $\lim_{r\to\infty}P_6(r)=0$. Now, consider the discriminant D of the quadratic equation

$$
\left(-\widehat{a}-\varepsilon\right)\lambda-\left(\widehat{b}_1+\frac{(\widehat{B}_0)^2}{4}\right)y+\left(-\widehat{a}-\varepsilon\right)y^2=0.
$$

Then, $D < 0$ by our assumption $(*_8)$, and hence, there exist constans $r_9(\geq r_0)$ and $\theta_1 \in (\theta_0, 1)$ such that

$$(-\widehat{a} - \varepsilon)\,\lambda - \left(\widehat{b}_1 + \frac{(\widehat{B}_0)^2}{4} + P_6(r)\right) y + (1-\theta)\,(-\widehat{a} - \varepsilon)\,y^2 > 0$$

for $r \geq r_9$, $\theta \in [\theta_1, 1)$, and $y \in \mathbf{R}$. Therefore, the right hand side of (60) is nonnegative for any $k \geq 1$, $r \geq r_9$, and $\theta \in [\theta_1, 1)$. In the sequel, we shall fix $\theta \in [\theta_1, 1)$. Thus, we have for any $k \geq 1$ and $t > s \geq r_9$

$$\begin{aligned}(61)\qquad &\int_{S(t)} r\left\{\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}q|v|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|\nabla v|^2 + \left(\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2r} + \widehat{A}(r)\right)\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}v\right\} dA \\ &+ \int_{S(s)} r\left\{\frac{1}{2}|\nabla v|^2 - \frac{1}{2}q|v|^2 - \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2r} + \widehat{A}(r)\right)\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}v\right\} dA \geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

In view of (52), we see that Proposition 5.1 implies that

$$\liminf_{t\to\infty} \int_{S(t)} r\left\{|\nabla v|^2 + |v|^2\right\} dA = 0.$$

Hence, substituting an appropriate divergent sequence $\{t_i\}$ for t in (61), and letting $t_i \to \infty$, we see that

$$(62)\qquad \int_{S(s)} r\left\{\frac{1}{2}|\nabla v|^2 - \frac{1}{2}q|v|^2 - \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2r} + \widehat{A}(r)\right)\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}v\right\} dA \geq 0$$

for all $s \geq r_7$ and $k \geq 1$. On account of the facts

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}\right)^2 &= \left\{k^2\theta^2 r^{2\theta-2}|u|^2 + 2k\theta r^{\theta-1}\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}u + \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right)^2\right\} e^{2kr^\theta}, \\ |\nabla v|^2 &= \left\{k^2\theta^2 r^{2\theta-2}|u|^2 + 2k\theta r^{\theta-1}\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}u + |\nabla u|^2\right\} e^{2kr^\theta},\end{aligned}$$

and (53), the left hand side of (62) is written as follows:

$$\left\{k^2 I_1(s) + kI_2(s) + I_3(s)\right\} e^{2kr^\theta},$$

where

$$I_1(s) = -\theta^2 s^{2\theta-2}\int_{S(s)} |u|^2\, dA;$$

$I_2(s)$ and $I_3(s)$ is independent of k. Thus, for any fixed $s \geq r_9$, the inequality $k^2 I_1(s) + kI_2(s) + I_3(s) \geq 0$ holds for all $k \geq 1$. Therefore, $I_1(s) = 0$ for any fixed $s \geq r_9$, that is, $u \equiv 0$ on $B(r_9, \infty)$. The unique continuation theorem implies that $u \equiv 0$ on $E = M - \overline{U}$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proposition 6.1 proves the first part of Theorem 1.1. The second part of Theorem 1.1 is proved as follows: if $\lambda > 0$ is an eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ and u is an corresponding eigenfunction, then $u, \nabla u \in L^2(M, dv_g)$, in particular, $u, \nabla u \in L^2(E, dv_g)$. However, if (7) with $\gamma = 1$ holds, then

$$\liminf_{t\to\infty}\; t\int_{S(t)} \left\{\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right)^2 + |u|^2\right\} dA \neq 0.$$

Therefore, there exist positive constants c_{10} and r_9 such that

$$t \int_{S(t)} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right)^2 + |u|^2 \right\} dA > c_{10} \qquad \text{for } t \geq r_9.$$

Hence, dividing the both sides of this inequality by t and integrating it with respect to t over $[r_9, \infty)$, we get

$$\int_{B(r_9,\infty)} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right)^2 + |u|^2 \right\} dA > \int_{r_9}^{\infty} \frac{c_{10}}{t} = +\infty.$$

This contradicts the fact that $u, \nabla u \in L^2(E, dv_g)$. Thus, we have proved the second part of Theorem 1.1.

References

[1] F. V. Atkinson, *The asymptotic solution of second order differential equations*, Ann. Math. Pura. Appl. **37** (1954), 347–378.

[2] S. Agmon, *Lower bounds for solutions of Schrödinger operators*, J. Analyse Math. **23** (1970), 1–25.

[3] H. Donnelly, *Eigenvalues embedded in the continuum for negatively curved manifolds*, Michigan Math. J. **28** (1981), 53–62.

[4] H. Donnelly, *Negative curvature and embedded eigenvalues*, Math. Z. **203** (1990), 301–308.

[5] H. Donnelly, *Embedded eigenvalues for asymptotically flat surfaces*, Proceeding Symposia in Pure Mathematics, **54** (1993), Part 3, 169–177.

[6] H. Donnelly, *Exhaustion functions and the spectrum of Riemannian manifolds*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 46 (1997), 505–528.

[7] H. Donnelly, *Spectrum of the Laplacian on asymptotically Euclidean spaces*, Michigan Math. J. 46 (1999), 101–111.

[8] H. Donnelly and N. Garofalo, *Riemannian manifolds whose Laplacian have purely continuous spectrum*, Math. Ann. **293** (1992), 143–161.

[9] D. M. Eidus, *The principle of limit amplitude*, Russian Math. Surveys. **24** (1969), no. 3, 97–167.

[10] J. Escobar, *On the spectrum of the Laplacian on complete Riemannian manifolds*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, **11** (1986), 63–85.

[11] J. Escobar and A. Freire, *The spectrum of the Laplacian of manifolds of positive curvature*, Duke Math. J. **65** (1992), 1–21.

[12] R. Green and H. Wu, *Gap theorems for noncompact Riemannian manifolds*, Duke Math. J. **49** (1981), 731–756.

[13] L. Karp, *Noncompact manifolds with purely continuous spectrum*, Mich. Math. J. **31** (1984), 339–347.

[14] A. Kasue, Applications of Laplacian and Hessian comparison theorems, Geometry of geodesics and related topics (Tokyo, 1982), 333–386, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. 3.

[15] T. Kato, *Growth properties of solutions of the reduced wave equation with a variable coefficient*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **12** (1959), 403–426.

[16] H. Kumura, *On the essential spectrum of the Laplacian on complete manifolds*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **49** (1997), 1–14.

[17] H. Kumura, *A note on the absence of eigenvalues on negatively curved manifolds*, Kyushu J. Math. **56** (2002), 109–121.

[18] H. Kumura, *The radial curvature of an end that makes eigenvalues vanish in the essential spectrum I*, arXiv:math/0505557, preprint.

[19] K. Mochizuki, *Growth properties of solutions of second order elliptic differential equations*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **16** (1976), 351–373.

[20] M. A. Pinsky, *Spectrum of the Laplacian on a manifold of negative curvature II*, J. Differential Geometry, **14** (1979), 609–620.

[21] S. N. Roze, *On the spectrum of an elliptic operator of second oder*, Math. USSR. Sb. **9** (1969), 183–197.

[22] T. Tayoshi, *On the spectrum of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on a non-compact surface*, Proc. Japan. Acad. **47** (1971), 187–189.

E-mail address: `smhkumu@ipc.shizuoka.ac.jp`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHIZUOKA UNIVERSITY, SHIZUOKA 422-8529, JAPAN